arXiv:1606.04531v2 [math.AC] 16 Jun 2016

THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL JACOBIAN CONJECTURE AND UNIQUE FACTORIZATION

VERED MOSKOWICZ

Abstract. The two-dimensional Jacobian Conjecture says that a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}[x, y] \to \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ that has an invertible Jacobian is an automorphism.

We show that if a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}[x, y] \to \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ has an invertible Jacobian and if $v \in \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a product of prime elements of $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$, then F is an automorphism, where v is such that $y = u/v$, where $u \in \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$.

1 Introduction

The famous n-dimensional Jacobian Conjecture, raised by O.H. Keller [7] in 1939, says that a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ that has an invertible Jacobian is an automorphism.

A theorem of E. Formanek [6, Theorem 2] (which generalizes a theorem of T.T. Moh [8, page 151]), says the following: Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that satisfies $\mathrm{Jac}(F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n)) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then $\mathbb{C}(F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n), x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

We will show that if a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}[x, y] \to \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ has an invertible Jacobian and if $v \in \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a product of prime elements of $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$, then F is an automorphism, where v is such that $y = u/v$, where $u \in \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$. We do not know if an analogous result for $n \geq 3$ exists.

2 Our result

For results about factorization in integral domains, see, for example, [3, Chapter 15]. We begin with the following observation:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x, y] \to \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism having an invertible Jacobian. If $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a UFD, then F is an automorphism.*

We will bring two proofs for Theorem 2.1; in both proofs we will use another theorem of Formanek [5, Theorem 1], see also [4, Exercise 9, page 13]: Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let $F : k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a k-algebra endomorphism that satisfies $\mathrm{Jac}(F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n)) \in k^*$. Suppose that there is a polynomial F_{n+1} in $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $k[F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n), F_{n+1}] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then $k[F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n)] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ (= F is a k-algebra automorphism of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$).

Proof. **First, almost immediate, proof:** Write $F(x) = a_m y^m + a_{m-1} y^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 y + a_0$, where $a_j \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. We can assume that $F(x)$ is monic in y (and also $F(y)$ is monic in y, but we will not use this additional fact). Indeed, multiply F

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14R15.

by an automorphism g, $g(x) = x + y^N$, $g(y) = y$ for appropriate N, and get that $(gF)(x) = y^m + b_{m-1}y^{m-1} + \ldots + b_1 y + b_0$, where $b_j \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.

Now assume that $F(x)$ is monic in y. Hence, y is integral over $\mathbb{C}[F(x), x]$, and then y is integral over $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$, so, $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x][y] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ is an integral extension. By Formanek's theorem [6, Theorem 2], we have $\mathbb{C}(F(x), F(y), x) = \mathbb{C}(x, y)$.

By assumption, $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a UFD, hence integrally closed (in its field of fractions) [3, Theorem 15.14], therefore, $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$.

Finally, Formanek's theorem [5, Theorem 1] implies that $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$.

Second proof: The second proof can be found in [9, Theorem 3.1].

Recall Adjamagbo's transfer theorem [1, Theorem 1.7]: Given commutative rings $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ such that: A is normal and Noetherian, B is isomorphic to $A[T]/hA[T]$, where $A[T]$ is the A-algebra of polynomials generated by one indeterminate T and $h \in A[T] - A$, C an affine B-algebra, C is separable over A, $C^* = A^*$ and the prime spectrum of C is connected. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) B is normal.
(2) C is flat over B.
(3) B is separable over A.
(4) B is étale (=unramified and flat) over A.

It is not difficult to check that $A = \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)]$, $B = \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)][x]$ and $C = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ satisfy the assumptions in Adjamagbo's transfer theorem. Therefore, if we show that one of conditions $(1)-(4)$ is satisfied, then also all the other conditions are satisfied.

By assumption $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a UFD, so condition (1) is satisfied, hence, in particular, condition (4) is satisfied.

Recall Bass's theorem [2, Proposition 1.1]: Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Assume that $k[x_1, x_2] \subseteq B$ is an affine integral domain over k which is an unramified extension of $k[x_1, x_2]$. Assume also that $B = k[x_1, x_2][b]$ for some $b \in B$. If $B^* = k^*$ then $B = k[x_1, x_2]$.

Now apply Bass's theorem to $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)][x]$ and get that $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)] = \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)][x]$.

Then we clearly have, $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), y] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, hence Formanek's theorem [5, Theorem 1] implies that $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$. □

We wish to find a weaker assumption than $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ being a UFD.

A first option is to assume that $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a GCD-domain. However, it is than immediate that $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a UFD, see [3, Corollary 15.13].

A second option is to assume that a specific element $v \in \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x] - 0$ has a factorization into prime elements of $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ (if such a factorization exists, then it is necessarily unique up to units).

Of course, every non-zero element of $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ has (at least) one factorization into irreducible elements of $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$, see [3, Proposition 15.3], but the problem is that those irreducible elements need not be prime elements, and for our proof of Theorem 2.2 we need a factorization of v into primes.

Now, in the introduction we recalled Formanek's theorem [6, Theorem 2]. When $n = 2$ it says that if $F : \mathbb{C}[x, y] \to \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism having an invertible Jacobian, then $\mathbb{C}(F(x), F(y), x) = \mathbb{C}(x, y)$. Therefore, for a given $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}[x, y] \to \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ having an invertible Jacobian, there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x] - 0$ such that $y = u/v$. (Actually, v can be taken from $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y)] - 0$, see [10], but we will not use this fact here).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x,y] \to \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism having an invertible Jacobian. Assume that $v \in \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x] - 0$ as above is a product of prime elements of $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$. Then F is an automorphism.*

Proof. Write $v = v_1 \cdots v_m$, where $v_j \in \mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ are prime elements of $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$. Let S_0 be the multiplicative set $\{v^i\}$, and let S be the saturation of S, see [3, page 127]; this S is *primal* [3, page 251] (=generated by units and by prime elements). We claim that the localization $S^{-1}\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a UFD; indeed, $S^{-1}\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x] = S^{-1}\mathbb{C}[x,y]$, and $S^{-1}\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ is a UFD as a localization of the UFD $\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ (see [3, Theorem 15.36]). Now by a theorem of Nagata [3, Theorem 15.39], we get that $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a UFD. Therefore, theorem 2.1 implies that F is an automorphism.

□

The proof of Theorem 2.2 shows that, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, $\mathbb{C}[F(x), F(y), x]$ is a UFD, so actually our weaker condition is not weaker but equivalent.

References

[1] K. Adjamagbo, *On separable algebras over a UFD and the Jacobian Conjecture in any characteristic*, in Automorphisms of affine spaces, A. van den Essen (ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995, Proceedings of a Conference held in Curacao (Netherlands Antilles), July 4-8, 1994, under the auspices of the Caribbean mathematics Foundation (CMF).

[2] H. Bass, *Differential structure of étale extensions of polynomial algebras*, in Commutative Algebra, M. Hochster, C. Huncke and J. D. Sally (ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, Proceedings of a Microprogram Held, June 15-July 2, 1987.

[3] P. L. Clark, *Commutative Algebra*, March 9, 2015.

[4] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics, 190. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.

[5] E. Formanek, *Two notes on the Jacobian Conjecture*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 49, 286-291, 1987.

[6] E. Formanek, *Observations about the Jacobian Conjecture*, Houston J. Math. 20, no. 3, 369-380, 1994.

[7] O. H. Keller, *Ganze Cremona-Transformationen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 47, 299-306, 1939.

[8] T. T. Moh, *On the Jacobian Conjecture and the configurations of roots*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 340, 140-212, 1983.

[9] V. Moskowicz, *Special cases of the Jacobian Conjecture*, arXiv:1501.06905v8 [math.RA] 13 Apr 2015.

[10] V. Moskowicz, *A special case of the two-dimensional Jacobian Conjecture*, arXiv:1573576 [math.AC] 31 May 2016.

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel.
E-mail address: vered.moskowicz@gmail.com